

APRIL BOELK
AUTOMATIC APPEALS SUPERVISOR



EARL WARREN BUILDING
350 McALLISTER STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
(415) 865-7000

Supreme Court of California

JORGE E. NAVARRETE
CLERK AND EXECUTIVE OFFICER
OF THE SUPREME COURT

Received in San Francisco
Date 4/11/19
Sent to Calendar Y/N
Initials

March 27, 2019

Randall G. Block
Burke Williams & Sorensen, LLP
1901 Harrison Street, Suite 900
Oakland, CA 946 2-3501

Re: S2:3783 - STANCIL v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

Dear Counsel:

We are enclosing a copy of this court's order granting review in the above referenced case. Your attention is directed to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, for briefing requirements. Please insure that briefs submitted for filing reflect the case number, title and party designations appearing on the court's order.

Per our Internal Operating Practices and Procedures (§ IV, ¶ L), when review is granted each party must file a "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" that lists any persons, associations of persons, firms, partnerships, corporations (including parent and subsidiary corporations) or other entities other than the parties themselves known by the party to have either (i) a financial interest in the subject matter of the controversy or in a party to the proceeding; or (ii) any other kind of interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding. For this purpose, we enclose a form for your use. This letter is the sole communication to all counsel for Real Party in Interest. If other associated counsel are better situated to provide the required information, please reroute the enclosure to them. The completed form and eight copies should be returned to us within 15 days.

Very truly yours,

JORGE E. NAVARRETE
Clerk and
Executive Officer of the Supreme Court


Gail Gray, Deputy Clerk

Enclosure
Rec.

CERTIFICATION OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS

S253783 STANCIL v. S.C. (REDWOOD CITY)

Full Name of Interested Entity/Person

Party / Non-Party Nature of Interest

_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____
_____	[] []	_____

Submitted by: Randall G. Block

SUPREME COURT
FILED

Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Four - No. A156100 MAR 27 2019

S253783

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

Deputy

En Banc

EDWARD STANCIL, Petitioner,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, Respondent;

REDWOOD CITY, Real Party in Interest.

The petition for review is granted, and the City of Redwood City is ordered to show cause before this court, when the above entitled matter is placed on calendar, why the relief sought by petitioner should not be granted.

The issue to be briefed and argued in this matter is limited to the following: Whether a motion to quash service of summons is the proper remedy to test whether a complaint states a cause of action for unlawful detainer.

The return shall be filed on or before April 29, 2019.

A reply may be filed on or before May 14, 2019.

Any application to file an amicus curiae brief, accompanied by the proposed brief, must be served and filed on or before May 24, 2019.

Any reply to an amicus brief must be served and filed on or before May 31, 2019.

The court does not anticipate granting any extensions of time regarding the due dates set forth above.

Cantil-Sakauye

Chief Justice

Chin

Associate Justice

Corrigan

Associate Justice

Liu

Associate Justice

Cuéllar

Associate Justice

Kruger

Associate Justice

Groban

Associate Justice